



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

NXP, B.V.  
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  
M/S41-SJ  
1109 MCKAY DRIVE  
SAN JOSE, CA 95131

**COPY MAILED**

**JUL 23 2008**

In re Application of  
Jan-Willem Van De Waerd, et. al.  
Application No. 10/535,591  
Filed: May 19, 2005  
Attorney Docket No. US02 0465 US

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed December 10, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

The application became abandoned for failure to respond to the non-final Office action mailed May 7, 2007. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on December 7, 2007.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. However, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature of Robert J. Crawford appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he acts. If Mr. Crawford desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to petitioner. Nevertheless, all future correspondence regarding this application file will be directed solely to the address of record until otherwise instructed.


Additionally, it is not apparent whether the statement of unintentional delay was signed by a person who would have been in a position of knowing that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Nevertheless, in accordance with 37 CFR 10.18, the statement is accepted as constituting a certification of unintentional delay. However, in the event that petitioner has no knowledge that the delay was unintentional, petitioner must make such an

inquiry to ascertain that, in fact, the delay was unintentional. If petitioner discovers that the delay was intentional, petitioner must so notify the Office.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of an amendment; (2) the petition fee of \$1,540; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay. Therefore, the petition is **GRANTED**.

This application file is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2188 for review of the amendment filed with the instant petition.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3226.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Andrea Smith', is written over the printed name.

Andrea Smith  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

cc: Robert J. Crawford  
Crawford Maunu, PLLC  
1270 Northland Drive, Suite 390  
St. Paul, MN 55120